

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,908	03/29/2004	Lynn A. Buckner		9423
LYNN A. BUC	7590 11/27/200°	EXAMINER ·		
PO Box 609			BEACH, THOMAS A	
Chickamauga,	GA 30707		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
		- ·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/810,908	BUCKNER, LYNN A.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas A. Beach	3671		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)  🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	igust 2007.			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	÷ •	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notic	t(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) De of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Atzberger 5,140,759. Atzberger '759 shows a vacuum boring and mud recovery vacuum hose attachment method, comprising the steps of providing a suction end of a vacuum conduit, a water spray nozzle 16 and a means to attach the spray nozzle adjacent to the vacuum hose suction end (fig 3), and the suction end of the vacuum conduit having an indentation (figs 2 & 3) in its circumference so as to restrict objects from entering the vacuum conduit which are too large in size to continue through the vacuum hose and the indentation in the vacuum conduit circumference (since the ring that nozzles 16/17 has a narrower diameter that the hose 10) also being the location of attaching the water spray nozzle so as to direct sprayed water under pressure to emulsify dirt located adjacent to the suction end of the vacuum conduit (fig 2).

As concern claim 11, Atzberger shows the steps of having the vacuum conduit with a first circumference and the suction end of the vacuum conduit having a bell shaped portion having a second circumference larger than the first circumference, the

Art Unit: 3671

bell shaped portion having the one or more indentation and having one or more water spray nozzles (fig 3).

As concern claim 12, Atzberger shows the steps of: the spray nozzle being selected from one of a pulse jet, a rotary jet, a jetter nozzle and a fixed spray jet 16.

As concern claim 13, Atzberger shows the steps of facing the spray nozzle housed within the indentation so as to spray towards the center of an area to be vacuumed (figs 1-2).

As concern claim 14, Atzberger shows the steps of: providing a second and third spray nozzle 16 housed within a second and third indentation on the vacuum conduit (figs 2-3).

As concern claim 15, Atzberger shows a vacuum boring and mud recovery vacuum hose attachment method, comprising the steps of providing a vacuum conduit having a vacuum source attached to a first end, a second end being a suction end of the vacuum conduit, the first end having a first circumference and the second end having an inward rolled edge with a second circumference smaller than the first circumference (figs 1-3).

As concern claim 16, Atzberger shows a vacuum boring and mud recovery vacuum hose attachment method, comprising the steps of providing a vacuum conduit having a vacuum source attached to a first end, a second end being a suction end of the vacuum conduit, a spray nozzle hose connected to an aerodynamic support and a spray nozzle within the second end (figs 1-3).

As concern claim 17, Atzberger shows the steps of: providing the vacuum conduit with a first circumference and a vacuum conduit bell shaped portion having a second circumference larger than the first circumference and having an indention in the circumference of the conduit bell, and having a water spray nozzle positioned within the indention, and the water spray nozzle directed so as to emulsify dirt located at the suction end of the vacuum conduit (figs 1-3).

As concern claim 18, Atzberger shows the steps of: mounting the aerodynamic support within the bell portion and the aerodynamic support supporting the spray nozzle adjacent to the open end of the vacuum conduit bell (figs 1-3).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 08/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Newly added claim language does not overcome the rejection since Atzberger show the restriction indentation, the ring connecting the nozzles 16. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the objectives of the application, pages 2-3 of arguments) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Beach whose telephone number is 571.272.6988. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571.272.6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Beach

November 21, 200

THOMAS A. BEACH Primary Examiner Group 3600